

\*E-Filed 2/23/12\*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

BONOFACIO CORONADO; JENNIFER  
CORONADO; EDUARDO CORONADO;  
BARBARA PECKLER; AND HANNIBAL  
AMARE, Individually and On Behalf of All  
Those Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

HTC AMERICA, INC.,

Defendant.

No. C 11-00706 RS

**ORDER RE: MOTION FOR LEAVE  
TO FILE FIRST AMENDED  
COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs in the above-captioned action filed a motion requesting leave to file a First Amended Complaint (FAC) on February 17, 2012, but neglected to notice the motion for a hearing, as required by Local Rule 7-2.<sup>1</sup> Rather than deny the motion, the Court hereby instructs the parties to appear for a hearing on the motion on **March 29, 2012 at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 3, 17th Floor, in the San Francisco Federal Building and Courthouse**. Consistent with the Local Rules, defendant must, if it elects to do so, file an opposition to the motion by March 8, 2012. Similarly, plaintiff must file a reply brief, if any, by March 15, 2012. The Court reserves the right to take the motion under submission without a hearing pursuant to Local Rule 7-1(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs are hereby reminded that they must practice in compliance with the Local Rules. Although plaintiffs separately filed the FAC on the record, it remains, of course, a *proposed* amended complaint without any operative effect, unless and until plaintiffs' motion is granted and the FAC is properly filed.

Dated: 2-22-12



RICHARD SEEBORG  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28